

Modeling the Heating and Cooling of WZ Sagittae following the July 2001 Outburst.

Patrick Godon¹, Edward M. Sion, & Fuhua Cheng

*Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085;
patrick.godon@villanova.edu emsion@ast.villanova.edu*

Boris T. Gänsicke

*Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton
SO17 1BJ; btg@astro.soton.ac.uk*

Steve Howell

*Institute of Geophysics & Planetary Physics, 1432 Geology Building, University of
California, Riverside, CA 92521; steve.howell@ucr.edu*

Christian Knigge

*Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton
SO17 1BJ; christian@astro.soton.ac.uk*

Warren M. Sparks

XNH, MS F664, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545; wms@lanl.gov

Sumner Starrfield

*Department of Physics & Astronomy, Arizona State University, Box 871504, Tempe, AZ
85287-1504; sumner.starrfield@asu.edu*

ABSTRACT

FUSE and HST/STIS spectra of the dwarf nova WZ Sge, obtained during and following the early superoutburst of July 2001 over a time span of 20 months, monitor changes in the components of the system during its different phases. The synthetic spectral fits to the data indicate a cooling in response to the outburst of about 12,000K, from $\approx 28,000K$ down to $\approx 16,000K$. The cooling time scale

²Visiting at the Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

τ (of the white dwarf temperature excess) is of the order of ≈ 100 days in the early phase of the cooling period, and increases to $\tau \approx 850$ days toward the end of the second year following the outburst.

In the present work, we numerically model the accretional heating and subsequent cooling of the accreting white dwarf in WZ Sge. The best compressional heating model fit is obtained for a $1.2M_{\odot}$ white dwarf accreting at a rate of $9 \times 10^{-9}M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$ for 52 days. However, if one assumes a lower mass accretion rate or a lower white dwarf mass, then compressional heating alone cannot account for the observed temperature decline, and other sources of heating have to be included to increase the temperature of the model to the observed value. We quantitatively check the effect of boundary layer irradiation as such an additional source.

Subject headings: Cataclysmic variables – stars: individual (WZ Sge) – white dwarfs.

1. Introduction

WZ Sge is a well-studied dwarf nova (DN, a sub-class of Cataclysmic Variables - CVs) with extreme properties. It has the largest outburst amplitude, shortest orbital period, longest outburst recurrence time, lowest mass Roche-lobe filling secondary, and lowest accretion rate of any class of DNe (Howell et al. 1999, 2002). In addition it is the brightest DN and arguably the closest CV, with a distance of only ≈ 43 pc (Thorstensen 2001, private communication). WZ Sge was observed to go into outburst in 1913, in 1946 and in 1978 and it was consequently assumed to have an outburst period of about 33 years. The 23 July 2001 outburst, first reported by T. Ohshima (see Ishioka et al. (2001)), was therefore 10 years earlier than expected.

Following the nomenclature of Patterson et al. (2002), the optical light curve (Figure 1) of the system during the July 2001 outburst exhibits a "plateau" phase (this phase is really a slow decline phase), which lasted for about 24 days. During this period the system brightness underwent a steady decline with a rate of about 0.1 mag/day, falling from $M_v \approx 8.2$ to $M_v \approx 10.7$ in about 24 days, a sign that the accretion was taking place at a slowly decreasing rate. It was then followed by a sharp drop (itself lasting a few days) of the visual magnitude from $m_v \approx 11$ down to $m_v \approx 13$, where it stayed for about 3 days: the "dip". During the dip, accretion had either stopped completely or dropped considerably. Between day 29 and day 52 of the outburst, the system then underwent 12 successive "echo" outbursts: the "rebrightening" phase. On the 53rd day, the system started to cool without

any other noticeable outburst event: the "cooling" phase (in Figure 1 the light curve is shown for $t < 75$ days only, since for $t > 53$ days M_v is a monotonously decreasing function of time, as the star cools).

The 2001 outburst light curve of WZ Sge is remarkably similar to its 1978 outburst light curve with practically the same initial decline rate of 0.1mag/day (Bailey 1979). However, in 1978 the plateau and rebrightening phases lasted about 30 days each against 25 days each in the 2001 outburst (i.e. the outburst in 1978 lasted about 10 days longer than in 2001). This may be related to the fact that in 2001 WZ Sge erupted after only 23 years of quiescence, while in 1978 it erupted after 33 years of quiescence. The 2001 outburst was not as strong as in 1978. From the IUE archive we found that the continuum level of the spectrum has a flux density F_λ (estimated around $\lambda = 1,700 \text{ \AA}$) of about $F_\lambda \approx 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ during the early phase of the 1978 outburst, or about 3 times larger than in the 2001 outburst at the same epoch and wavelength $F_\lambda \approx 7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$. During the cooling phase, the flux density F_λ was also larger in 1978 than in 2001 at the same epoch (Slevinsky et al. 1999). The 1946 eruption, however, was much different, with no apparent rebrightening phase, while the plateau phase had small amplitude variations during the whole duration of the outburst.

The main purpose of the present work is to try to account for the observed sequence of temperatures using a numerical model for the accretional heating and subsequent cooling of the accreting white dwarf. In the next section we address the issue of the accuracy of the temperature determination during the outburst and cooling periods, together with an overview of the estimates of the WD temperature and accretion rate. We present the code we use to carry out the simulations of the heating and cooling of the WD in section 3. The results are presented in section 4. In the last section we discuss the possible origin of the observed high temperatures and slow cooling of the white dwarf.

2. The Accretion Rate and White Dwarf Temperature Determination

In the present work we consider the observations of WZ Sge carried out in the Far Ultraviolet for which the temperature of the accreting white dwarf and/or the mass accretion rate of the system were assessed. These observations monitor changes in the FUV component of the system during its different phases, over a time span of 20 months. and reveal a cooling of the WD in response to the outburst of about 12,000K. Namely, we consider 4 FUSE and 11 HST/STIS observations as follows: one FUSE observation obtained during the plateau

phase, one HST observation obtained during the dip, two HST and one FUSE observations obtained during the rebrightening phase and 2 FUSE with 8 HST observations obtained during the cooling phase. A total of 15 observations together with their references are recapitulated in Table 1.

In order to estimate the temperature one generates a grid of theoretical spectra for different values of the surface temperature, gravity and composition, using the synthetic spectra generator codes TLUSTY and SYNSPEC (Hubeny 1988; Hubeny et al. 1994; Hubeny & Lanz 1995). One then masks regions of the observed spectrum that are not characteristic of a WD atmosphere (such are emission lines for example), and a χ^2 fitting technique is used to find the best fit model. The mass accretion rate is estimated in a similar manner using different options in the synthetic spectra generator codes TLUSTY (the older version is known as TLDISK) and SYNSPEC.

Mass Accretion Rates: the following mass accretion rates were estimated in the references mentioned in Table 1 and should be considered only as an order of estimate. From Table 1, we see that the mass accretion rate estimate during the plateau phase is rather modest, of the order of $1 - 3 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}/year$ (Long et al. 2003a) on day 7 into outburst (Table 1). During the dip, the white dwarf might have a temperature of about 25,000K (Knigge et al. 2002) on day 27. This is more than 10,000K above its quiescence temperature which is $\approx 14,500K$. During the rebrightening phase, the mass accretion rate seems to be somewhat smaller than during the plateau and only peaks at $1 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}yr^{-1}$ (Long et al. 2003a) on day 46. We put these values into the table, though we feel that they should be considered only as rough estimates rather than actual values and they reflect our inability to assess $\dot{M}$ (and T_{wd}) during this epoch. This is because during the different phases of the outburst, additional components (such as the accretion disk and other obscuring material ejected during outburst) contaminate and veil (Long et al. 2003b) the spectrum of the white dwarf. However, during the cooling phase, one expects to 'see' mainly the white dwarf with little or no contribution from the disk and/or other (possibly masking) additional components. During this epoch the white dwarf is exposed and its temperature decreases.

Because of that, we decide to use for our modeling the values of the white dwarf temperature obtained during the cooling phase only. However, discrepancies of up to 5,000K exists between the temperature estimates of Sion et al. (2003a) and Long et al. (2003b), for the observation carried out on day 50, when the white dwarf was revealed, but apparently also partially masked or/and possibly with an accretion disk component. The rest of the observations in the cooling phase are consistent within 2,000K.

Temperatures: we elaborate here a little more on the temperature, using the three different approaches (denoted a, b and c in Table 2).

These were all observations using HST/STIS. In (a) we mask the N V region of the spectrum when needed (together with less affected regions varying from spectrum to spectrum) and use the latest version of the synthetic spectra generator codes TLUSTY and SYNSPEC (Hubeny 1988; Hubeny et al. 1994; Hubeny & Lanz 1995); in (b) the spectral fitting technique uses the same masking regions for all the spectra together with the new version of the code; and (c) is taken from Long et al. (2003b), which uses a third and different masking technique together with an earlier version of the code. In Table 2, we also list the flux integrated over the wavelength over the entire spectral range of STIS, to the power 1/4, for all the epochs, which is proportional to the effective temperature (though each integrated flux can be relatively over- or under- estimated).

In Figure 2 we draw the temperatures listed in Table 2 as follows: T_a is represented by stars; T_b is represented by squares; T_c is represented by plus signs; and the temperature estimated through the flux is represented by circles, where it has been arbitrarily scaled so as to fit the last data point for T_b . The two triangles represent the FUSE data points. The September 2001 datum has temperature estimates ranging from $\approx 27,000\text{K}$ up to $\approx 32,000\text{K}$. For that particular epoch the spectrum was taken between the last two echo outbursts and obviously the white dwarf was not the only component and in addition might have been partially masked. For that reason we decide not to take into consideration the data points that were not known accurately, or which were taken during periods when the white dwarf might still have been veiled. In our numerical modeling we will try to model the cooling temperature as listed in Table 2, with some reservations about the September 2001 entry.

The cooling of the white dwarf is initially pretty rapid and then slows down significantly. If we assume an exponential cooling law $T = T_{inf} + \Delta T \times e^{-t/\tau}$ (with $T_{inf} = 14,500\text{K}$), equivalent to $\Delta T(t) = \Delta T \times e^{-t/\tau}$, where $\Delta T(t)$ is the excess temperature of the WD at time t , then we find that τ is not constant, i.e. the cooling is not exponential. In Tables 1 and 2, t is counted from the beginning of the cooling period, day 52 into outburst, however, it is usually agreed to count the cooling time from the beginning of the cooling phase. Therefore, we set here $t \rightarrow t - 52$ days, and we denote the cooling time at time $t = n$ days by τ^n . We find $\tau^{13} \approx (70 \pm 10)$ days, $\tau^{50} \approx (190 \pm 30)$ days, $\tau^{300} \approx (325 \pm 40)$ days, and $\tau^{550} \approx (850 \pm 280)$ days.

It is interesting to note that the observed cooling following the 2001 July outburst is faster than the cooling observed after the 1978 outburst. Slevinsky et al. (1999) found a WD temperature of $20,500\text{K}$, 220 days after the outburst against $\approx 19,000\text{K}$ at the same epoch for the 2001 outburst (e.g. observing epoch of April 2002, day 266). And ≈ 500 days after the 1978 outburst the temperature was still around $17,500\text{K}$ while the present observations seem to indicate a lower temperature ($\approx 17,000\text{K}$) 466 days after the 2001 outburst.

3. Numerical Modeling

In order to model the accretional heating and subsequent cooling of the WD in WZ Sge, we use a 1D quasi-static evolutionary code without hydrodynamics (quasi-static assumption). It is an updated version of the quasi-static stellar evolution code of Sion (1995). The code includes time variable accretion, OPAL opacities and boundary layer irradiation which indirectly accounts for the stellar rotational velocity (see Eq.1 below). Stellar rotation, however, is not included anywhere else in the code. In this code, the white dwarf is computed all the way down to the core, in the region well below the nuclear burning region. Initial models are constructed by the fitting point method and the resulting initial model down to a depth where $\rho = 10^6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ is stored as input for the evolution code. For a given white dwarf mass and an initial effective surface temperature (T_{eff}), we chose R_{wd} to be the theoretical equilibrium radius. The models built in this manner are initially in equilibrium, and if no matter is accreted onto the WD surface, the WD parameters do not undergo any change on the time scale studied here. All other details of the code can be found in Sion (1995) and references therein.

Numerical simulations are carried out by switching on accretion for the duration of a dwarf nova outburst interval and then shutting it off to follow the cooling of the white dwarf. In this way the effects of compressional heating and boundary layer irradiation can be assessed quantitatively. The matter is assumed to accrete 'softly' with the same entropy as the white dwarf outer layers. From theoretical considerations, one expects the accreting matter, as it transits through the boundary layer region, to increase its temperature (since the boundary layer temperature is high: $T_{BL} \gg T_*$). In addition in the boundary layer region, the radial velocity is large and the accreting matter can also heat up by shock. For these reasons the 'soft' accretion assumption is actually not justified, since this advected energy is non-negligible. However, in our treatment of the boundary layer irradiation, we take into account part of this energy by assuming that a fraction of the energy liberated in the boundary layer (L_{BL}) is absorbed by the outer layer of the star: it is included as a source in the energy equation in the outer layer of the star.

The treatment of the boundary layer irradiation is done as follows. The energy liberated in the boundary layer is given by (Kluźniak 1987):

$$L_{BL} = \frac{1}{2} L_{acc} \left(1 - \frac{\Omega_*}{\Omega_K(R_*)} \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where

$$L_{acc} = \frac{GM_* \dot{M}}{R_*}$$

is the total accretion energy, G is the gravitational constant, M_* is the mass of the star, R_* is the radius of the star, $\dot{M}$ is the mass accretion rate, Ω_* is the angular rotation rate of the star and $\Omega_K(R_*)$ is the Keplerian angular velocity at one stellar radius. Equation (1) can be used as long as the disk is geometrically thin and optically thick and extends to the stellar surface. In the present case one expects the stellar rotation rate to be the rotation rate of the WD: $\Omega_* = \Omega_{wd}$.

We assumed that only a fraction of the boundary layer luminosity is irradiating the star, namely:

$$L_{irr} = \alpha \frac{L_{BL}}{2}.$$

A value $\alpha = 1$ means that half of the BL luminosity is lost into space while the other half is absorbed by the star. Assuming a value $\alpha = 0.5$ means that only 25% of the BL luminosity is absorbed by the star. Here, we choose $\alpha = 0.5$, which is the value used in the work of Shaviv & Starrfield (1987), and about half the estimated value of Regev & Shara (1989) who used $\alpha \approx 0.2L_{acc}$. We then assume different rotation rates

$$\eta = \frac{\Omega_*}{\Omega_K(R_*)}.$$

For a non rotating star $\eta = 0$ and the BL luminosity is exactly half the accretion energy $L_{BL} = L_{acc}/2$, while for a star rotating near break-up $\eta = 1$ and $L_{BL} = 0$. In this work we took values ranging from $\eta = 1$ (for no boundary layer irradiation, in order to check only the effect of the compressional heating) down to $\eta = 0.05$ (when the star is slowly rotating and boundary layer irradiation is the main source of heating). Clearly a smaller value of α will require a smaller value of η in order to keep the same amount of BL irradiation in a specific model, and vice versa. However, the compressional heating results obtained in this work are not at all affected by the value of α used in the simulations.

In the simulations it is assumed that the accretion and heating of the white dwarf is uniform rather than being restricted to the equatorial region. In addition, the transfer of angular momentum (by shear mixing) into the white dwarf is neglected.

4. Results

As previously remarked in section 2, during the outburst, as accretion takes place at a high rate, the star’s photospheric emission is overwhelmed by the emission of the hot components (mainly the inner disk), which makes it difficult to assess the exact temperature of the star and its rotation rate Ω_{wd} . However, on day 53 the system is found in a low

state and starts to cool. During that time the accretion rate has probably dropped to its quiescence level.

Numerical simulations (Godon & Sion 2002) have shown that the temperature increase, due to BL irradiation, is sustained only during accretion, and when the accretion is turned off, the star rapidly radiates away the BL energy absorbed in its outermost layer. However, the temperature increase due to compressional heating takes place deeper in the outer layers of the star and it takes many days (months) for the star to cool. Therefore, we assume that in the cooling phase the observed elevated temperature of the star is due to the compressional heating it has endured during the outburst phase alone.

Since BL irradiation and compressional heating take place at different depths in the outer layers of the star, and on different time scales, we model their effect on the temperature of the star in separate model runs.

First we model the compressional heating of the star alone occurring during the outburst phase, therefore setting $\eta = \Omega_{wd}/\Omega_K = 1$ in the simulations. The exact mass accretion rate of the system is not known and it is changing with time during the outburst. From the optical light curve in Figure 1, it seems very likely that initially the mass accretion rate is very high at the onset of the outburst and decreases steadily during the plateau phase. At present, our code only simulates a constant accretion rate, but at any time the accretion can be turned off to model the cooling. Consequently, we model the outburst phase (plateau + rebrightening) by accreting at a constant rate for 52 days, after which accretion is turned off and the WD cools. From Table 1, we expect the mass accretion rate during the outburst phase to be of the order of (say) $3 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ and the temperature of the star to increase from about 15,000K up to 28,000K (or more, see Table 2) around day 53. We run models with different white dwarf mass, namely $M_{wd} = 0.7M_\odot, 1.0M_\odot, 1.2M_\odot$ and vary the mass accretion rate in the range $10^{-9} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} < \dot{M} < 10^{-8} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$. We chose the initial WD temperature to be around 13,500 – 15,000K. Ideally we are looking for a model that reaches a temperature of 28,000K (or more) after 52 days of steady accretion, with $\dot{M} \approx 3 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, and with a temperature curve as close as possible to the observations of Tables 1 & 2. In Table 3 we list models (1-4) obtained for different values of M_{wd} and $\dot{M}$. From these models, model 3 with $M_{wd} = 1.2 \times M_\odot$ and $\dot{M} = 9 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot/\text{year}$, yields the best fit. Both models 1 & 2, with a lower white dwarf mass ($0.7M_\odot$ and $1 M_\odot$ respectively), and model 4, with a lower mass accretion rate ($5 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot/\text{year}$), yield a lower temperature and a sharper initial temperature drop than the observations. In figure 2 we draw the temperature increase of the WD due to compressional heating alone, together with the observed values, as a function of time for model 3. Models 4 & 2 are drawn in Figures 3 & 4 respectively.

Clearly, from Figures 3 & 4, we see that compressional heating alone cannot account

for the observed temperatures if the mass $M_{wd} < 1.2 \times M_{\odot}$ and/or the mass accretion rate $\dot{M} < 10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$, because it produces lower temperatures than observed. Since both a $1.2 M_{\odot}$ mass and a $10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$ accretion rate are larger than expected, we decided to check the effect of boundary layer irradiation on the temperature of the white dwarf for a model with $M = 1.0 M_{\odot}$ in addition to the compressional heating. Namely, we decide to check how boundary layer irradiation increases the temperature of the model in addition to compressional heating. Specifically, we chose model 2 arbitrarily around $t=175$ day. There it has a temperature of only 18,000K (due to compressional heating, Figure 4) while the observations indicate that the temperature should be at least 2,000K higher at that epoch. We therefore run a $1.0 M_{\odot}$ model (model 5, same mass as model 2) with an initial temperature of 18,000K (to simulate day 175 of model 2) and a quiescent mass accretion rate ($\dot{M} \approx 10^{-12} - 10^{-11} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$), and we include boundary layer irradiation assuming a rotation rate $\Omega_{wd} = 0.2 \Omega_K$ (corresponding to the observed rotational velocity of 1200km/s). Namely, we wish to check if the additional heating of the boundary layer irradiation of the accretion disk during the cooling phase (quiescent $\dot{M}$) can increase the temperature of the model by 2,000K, and for what value of $\dot{M}$ (here we model the combine effect of compressional heating and boundary layer irradiation separately, model 2 and model 5 respectively).

We find, from model 5 in Table 3, that one can increase the temperature of model 2 at $t=175$ days by 2,000K by means of boundary layer irradiation if the mass accretion rate is at least $\dot{M} \approx 2 \times 10^{-11} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

In the present numerical exploration we first assumed that all the accretional heating of the white dwarf was due to compressional heating, and we found that the mass of the accreting white dwarf needed in the simulations of the WZ Sge superoutburst to fit the observations was rather large: $1.2 M_{\odot}$. The average mass accretion rate of the outburst model, $9 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$, assessed from the compressional heating simulations, was itself larger by a factor of ≈ 5 , than the average value determined from the spectral fits to the observations which was $\approx 1.7 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ [25 days of accretion at a rate $\dot{M} = 3 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$, followed by 25 days of intermittent accretion at an average rate of $\approx 5 \times 10^{-10} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$].

When we assumed a smaller WD mass of only one solar mass, we found that even with a high mass accretion rate of $10^{-8} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$ the model could not account for the observed temperature. For that model, we simulated a second source of heating (in addition to compressional heating): boundary layer irradiation at a quiescence mass accretion rate, corresponding to the mass accretion rate during the cooling period. The mass accretion rate

we obtained ($2 \times 10^{-11} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$; Table 3) to fit the observations is in agreement with a recent analysis of an X-ray observation of WZ Sge. Hasenkopf & Eracleous (2002) presented results of a uniform analysis of all the *ASCA* X-ray observations of non-magnetic CVs. For WZ Sge they estimated an X-ray luminosity of about $L_X \approx 2.7 \times 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ in the range 0.5-10 keV, assuming a distance of 69 pc. Rescaling this value to the better estimate of 43 pc (and also to be consistent with the distance assumed in this work) leads to $L_X \approx 7 \times 10^{30} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ for the X-ray Luminosity. Hasenkopf & Eracleous (2002) found that optically thin boundary layer models (Popham 1999) provide the best description of the data. Since the disk is radiating in both +z and -z directions, the X-ray luminosity is at least half the boundary layer luminosity, namely: $L_X = L_{BL}/2$, and if the star does partially mask the inner disk and boundary layer (since $i = 78^\circ$), then one has $L_X < L_{BL}/2$. For this reason we assume $L_{BL} \approx 3 \times L_X \approx 2 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, and using Kluźniak (1987)’s relation for L_{BL} , an angular velocity of 1200 km s^{-1} and a 1.0 solar mass, we find the quiescence mass accretion rate from the X-ray observation to be $\dot{M} = 6 \times 10^{-12} M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$, about 3 times smaller than our estimates.

Another possibility, that we are unable to assess quantitatively, is the slow release of rotational kinetic energy from the outer layer of the star (Sparks et al. 1993). If the outer layer of the star (equatorial belt) has been spun up during the outburst, then rotational kinetic energy can be release during the cooling phase as this fast rotating layer spins down. This effect could account for an additional source of heating of the white dwarf ocuring during the cooling phase.

In this work we have shown that compressional heating alone can account for the cooling curve of WZ Sge following the July 2001 superoutburst only if the WD in WZ Sge is massive ($M_{wd} = 1.2 M_{\odot}$) and the outburst accretion rate is large ($\approx 10^{-8} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). If this is not the case, then compressional heating alone is not enough to account for the observed decrease in the WD temperature, and we suggest boundary layer irradiation from a quiescent accretion disk and the slow release of rotational kinetic energy from a fast rotating accretion belt as possible additional sources of heating of the white dwarf to account for the observed temperatures.

We are thankful to Knox Long for providing us with temperature estimates from his work before publication. We are grateful to the VSNET collaboration for providing us with the data shown on Figure 1. BTG acknowledges support from a PPARC Advanced Fellowship. P. Godon is particularly thankful to C. Hasenkopf for providing us with a copy of her paper on the X-ray analysis and to Thierry Lanz for kindly providing us with the latest version of the *Thusty* and *Synspec* codes. S. Starrfield acknowledges partial support from NSF and NASA Grants to ASU.

REFERENCES

- Bailey, J., 1979, MNRAS, 189, 41P
- Cheng, F., Sion, E.M., Szkody, P., & Huang, M. 1997, ApJL, 484, L149
- Godon, P., & Sion, E. M. 2002, ApJ, 566, 1084.
- Hasenkopf, C.A., & Eracleous, M., 2002, AAS Meeting 201, 120.03
- Howell, S.B., Ciardi, D., Szkody, P., van Paradijs, J., Kuulkers, E., Cash, J., Sirk, M., & Long, K.S. 1999, PASP, 111, 342
- Howell, S.B., Fried, R., Szkody, P., Sirk, M., & Schmidt, G. 2002, PASP, 114, 748
- Hubeny, I. 1988, Comput. Phys. Comm., 52, 103
- Hubeny, I., Lanz, T., & Jeffrey, S. 1994, Newsletter on Analysis of Astronomical Spectra (St. Andrews Univ.), 20, 30
- Hubeny, I., & Lanz, T. 1995, ApJ, 439, 875
- Ishioka, R. et al. 2001, IAU Circ., 7669, 1
- Kluźniak 1987, PhD Thesis, Standford University
- Knigge, C., Hynes, R.I., Steeghs, D., Long, K.S., Araujo-Betancor, S., & Marsh, T.R., 2002, ApJ Letters, in press.
- Long, K.S., Froning, C.S., Gänsicke, B., Knigge, C., Sion, E.M., & Szkody, P. 2003, ApJ, submitted.
- Long, K.S., Sion, E.M., Gänsicke, B., & Szkody, P. 2003, submitted to ApJ
- Patterson J., et al. 2002, PASP, 114, 721
- Popham, R. 1999, MNRAS, 308, 979
- Regev, O., & Shara, M. M. 1989, ApJ, 340, 1006
- Shaviv, G., & Starrfield, S. 1987, ApJ, 321, L51
- Sion, E. M. 1995, ApJ, 438, 876
- Sion, E.M., Gänsicke, B.T., Long, K.S., Szkody, P., Cheng, F., Howell, S.B., Godon, P., Knigge, C., Marsh, T., Sparks, W.M., & Starrfield, S., 2003, ApJ, in press.

- Slevinsky, R.J., Stys, D., West, S., Sion, E.M., & Cheng, R.H. 1999, PASP, 111, 1292
- Sparks, W.M., Sion, E.M., Starrfield, S., Austin, S. 1993, in The Physics of Cataclysmic Variables and Related Objects (eds. Regev and Shaviv)
- Wade, R., & Hubeny, I. 1998, ApJ, 509, 350

Table 1. FUSE & STIS observations of WZ Sge

obs. number	date	day	instrument	T_{wd} < 1,000K >	$\dot{M}$ < $M_{\odot}/yr$ >	phase	Reference ¹
1	30 Jul 01	7	FUSE	-	1-3e-09	plateau	[1]
2	19 Aug 01	27	STIS	25.0	-	dip	[2]
3	22 Aug 01	30	STIS	-	5e-10	rebrightening ²	[2]
4	07 Sep 01	46	FUSE	42.0	-	rebrightening ²	[1]
4	07 Sep 01	46	FUSE	-	1e-09	rebrightening ²	[1]
5	11 Sep 01	50	STIS	Table 2	3e-10	rebrightening ²	[3]
6	29 Sep 01	68	FUSE	25.0	-	cooling	[1]
7	10 Oct 01	79	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
8	07 Nov 01	107	FUSE	23.0	-	cooling	[1]
9	10 Nov 01	110	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
10	11 Dec 01	141	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
11	15 Apr 02	266	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
12	05 Jun 02	317	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
13	27 Aug 02	400	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
14	01 Nov 02	466	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]
15	23 Mar 03	608	STIS	Table 2	-	cooling	[3]

¹References: [1] Long et al. 2003a; [2] Knigge et al. 2002; [3] see Table 2.

²The observations on day 30 and day 46 coincide with the first and 10th echo outburst of the rebrightening phase respectively. The observation made on day 50 was made during a relatively 'low' state between the 11th and the 12th outburst in the rebrightening phase. The observation on day 46 was modeled twice: once with a white dwarf atmosphere only and once with an accretion disk only. The observation on day 50 was modeled once with a white dwarf atmosphere only and once as a combination of an accretion disk and a white dwarf atmosphere.

Table 2. STIS temperature estimates of WZ Sge

obs. number	date	day	T_a^1 < 1000K >	T_b^1 < 1000K >	T_c^1 < 1000K >	(Flux) ^{1/4}
5	11 Sep 01	50	31.9	27.0	28.2	3.8x10 ⁻³
7	10 Oct 01	79	25.2	23.6	23.4	3.3x10 ⁻³
9	10 Nov 01	110	23.7	22.4	22.1	3.1x10 ⁻³
10	11 Dec 01	141	22.6	21.6	20.7	2.9x10 ⁻³
11	15 Apr 02	266	19.5	18.8	18.1	2.5x10 ⁻³
12	05 Jun 02	317	18.8	18.0	17.4	2.5x10 ⁻³
13	27 Aug 02	400	17.8	17.4	16.7	2.4x10 ⁻³
14	01 Nov 02	466	17.5	17.0	16.3	2.3x10 ⁻³
15	23 Mar 03	608	17.2	16.6	15.9	2.2x10 ⁻³

¹ T_a and T_b were estimated in this work (see text) while T_c is from Long et al. 2003b.

Table 3. Accretional heating models of WZ Sge

Model number	M_{wd} $< M_{\odot} >$	$LogR_{wd}$ $< cm >$	$T_{wd}^{i(1)}$ $< 1,000K >$	$\dot{M}$ $< M_{\odot}/yr >$	$T_{wd}^{max(2)}$ $< 1,000K >$	Ω_{wd} $< \Omega_K >$	length ⁽³⁾ $< days >$
1	0.7	8.95	15.0	1e-08	21.0	1.0	52
2	1.0	8.78	15.0	1e-08	25.0	1.0	52
3	1.2	8.60	14.5	9e-09	34.0	1.0	52
4	1.2	8.60	14.5	5e-09	27.5	1.0	52
5	1.0	8.78	18.0	2e-11	20.0	0.2	–

¹The initial temperature of the white dwarf.

²The maximum temperature reached by the model during the run.

³Models for which the effect of boundary layer irradiation is taken into account ($\Omega_{wd} < 1$) are run for a few days only.

Figures Caption

Figure 1: The VSNET optical light curve of the 2001 outburst of WZ Sge. The first 6 observations are marked by arrows. The four different phases of WZ Sge have been marked for clarity.

Figure 2: Modeling the heating and cooling of WZ Sge. The temperature (in Kelvin) of the white dwarf is drawn as a function of time (in days) since the start of the outburst (July 23, 2001). The solid line represents the compressional heating modeling, for 1.2 solar mass white dwarf with an initial temperature of 14,500K, accreting at a rate of $9 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$ for 52 days. The stars, squares and plus signs denote the temperatures listed in Table 2 T_a , T_b and T_c respectively. The triangles are the FUSE data points and the circles represent the temperature estimates using the flux values (see text).

Figure 3: Same as Figure 2, but here the solid line represents a model with a mass accretion rate of $5 \times 10^{-9} M_{\odot}/\text{year}$ and an initial temperature of 15,000K (model 4 in Table 3).

Figure 4: Same as Figures 2 and 3. The solid line represents model 2 in Table 3.







